

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/821,240		04/08/2004	Nisar Ahmed Khan	3077-6384US	9732
24247	7590	09/27/2006		EXAM	INER
TRASK BF P.O. BOX 2			NEGIN, RUSSELL SCOTT		
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

1631 DATE MAILED: 09/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/821,240	KHAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Russell S. Negin	1631
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-25, drawn to a method of creating a peptide database, classified in class 702, subclass 19. If this group is elected, then the below mentioned species election(s) are required.
- Claim 26, drawn to a method of implementing a computer system for presenting biomolecular sequence data, classified in class 702, subclass 19.
- III. Claims 27-30, drawn to a method of producing a pharmaceutical,classified in class 435, subclass 6.
- IV. Claims 31-32, drawn to an improvement in a method of screening a candidate compound for biological activity, classified in class 435, subclass 6. If this group is elected, then the below mentioned species election(s) are required.
- V. Claims 33-46, drawn to a method for identifying biologically active peptide fragments, classified in classes 435 and 702, subclasses 6 and 19, respectively. If this group is elected, then the below mentioned species election(s) are required.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I through V are directed to related processes. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed serve different functions. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

While Invention I is a method of creating a peptide database, Invention II is a method of implementing a computer system for presenting biomolecular sequence data; this presentation of data is distinct from a method of creating a database. Invention III is a method of producing a pharmaceutical, which is distinct from creating a peptide database, or presenting data from a biomolecular sequence database. While Invention IV is an improvement in a method of screening a candidate compound for biological activity, this is distinct from a method of creating a peptide database, a method of presenting data from a database, or a method of producing a pharmaceutical. While Invention V is a method of identifying biologically active peptide fragments, this method is distinct from creating a peptide database, implementing a computer system for presenting biomolecular sequence data, producing a pharmaceutical, or an improvement in a method of screening a candidate compound for biological activity. Invention III is distinct because it is the only method which results in the production of a pharmaceutical. Invention IV is distinct because it is the only improvement to a method

Art Unit: 1631

of screening candidate molecules for biological activity. Invention V is distinct because it is the only method of identifying biologically active peptide fragments. The five methods thus serve five distinct functions and thus there is undue burden in searching all claimed subject matter.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Species Elections for Group I:

If applicant elects Group I, there are two species elections from which applicant is required to select one specie from each of the two groups.

Category A: inflammatory mediator (claim 15)

Applicant must elect an inflammatory mediator from the group listed in claim 15. Each inflammatory mediator is distinct with its own set of biochemical properties. There would be undue burden in searching all the mediators together.

Category B: relation of peptides (claims 22-25)

Applicant must select whether peptides are related to Beta-catenin (claim 22), C-reactive protein (claim 23), matrix metalloproteinase-2 (claim 24), and Bruton's tyrosine

Art Unit: 1631

kinase (claim 25). There would be undue burden in searching all relations to proteins together.

Species Election for Group IV:

If applicant elects Group IV, there is one species election which is required.

Category C: Tables of Peptides (claim 32)

Applicant must elect a peptide form the tables listed in claim 32. Each Table is a unique list of peptides with their own physical properties. There would be undue burden in searching all of the Tables together.

Species Election for Group V:

If applicant elects Group V, there is one species election which is required.

Category D: protein type (claims 35, 37-40)

Applicant must elect a protein from amongst the list shown in claims 35 and 37-40.

For claim 35, the protein of interest in hCG.

For claim 37, the protein of interest is Beta-catenin.

For claim 38, the protein of interest is C-reactive protein.

For claim 39, the protein of interest is matrix metalloproteinase-2.

For claim 40, the protein of interest is Bruton's tyrosine kinase.

Each protein is physically distinct with its own set of chemical properties. Searching all proteins together would result in undue burden.

Art Unit: 1631

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-21 are generic for Group I, claims 31-32 are generic for Group IV, and claims 33-36 and 41-46 are generic for Group V.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Art Unit: 1631

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the central PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such pages must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The Central PTO Fax Center Number is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Russell Negin, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-1083. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, Andrew Wang, Supervisory Patent Examiner, can be reached at (571) 272-0811.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Legal Instrument Examiner, Yolanda Chadwick, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0514.

Information regarding the status of the application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Art Unit: 1631

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information on the PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RSN 19 September 2006

19 Sept 2006

JOHN S. BRUSCA, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

Jes Brusen 19 September 2006

Page 8